

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT  
(SECTION 55(8))**

1.	<b>Name of Procurement</b>	Rendering of Security Services to Omusati Regional Council-Directorate of Education, Arts & Culture for a period of 36 Months
2.	<b>CPBN Procurement Reference No</b>	NCS/OAB/CPBN-01/2023
3.	<b>Date of Submission of Report</b>	26 May 2023
4.	<b>Contract Number</b>	NCS/OAB/CPBN-01/2023
5.	<b>Scope of Contract</b>	Rendering of Security Services to Omusati Regional Council-Directorate of Education, Arts & Culture for a period of 36 Months
6.	<b>Estimated Cost</b>	\$36 350 220.00 (VAT inclusive)
7.	<b>Funding Agency</b>	Omusati Regional Council-Directorate of Education, Arts & Culture
8.	<b>Procurement Method Used</b>	Open Advertised Bidding (OAB)
9.	<b>Date of Invitation of Bids</b>	20 January 2023
10.	<b>Closing Date of Submission of Bids</b>	17 March 2023
11.	<b>Date and Place of Opening of Bids</b>	17 March 2023, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	<b>Number of Bids Received by Closing Date</b>	Thirty-Five (35)

### 13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Stage 1		Stage 2		Stage 3		
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary/ Administrative Requirement	Mandatory Requirements	Technical Evaluation: Security Services	Conflict Of Interest	Financial Requirements	
1	Security Training College of Namibia CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial one certificate of Firearms Usage for Samuel Shivute, contrary to item 1.2.1. of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.
5	Otamanzi Security Services	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial various documents in their bid submission such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Certificate of car Registration N14393 ND</li> <li>✓ Certificate of car Registration N8624 T</li> <li>✓ Page 2 of the Vehicle Lease Agreement</li> <li>✓ ID for Shigwedha David Homateni.</li> <li>✓ Grade 12 Certificate for Sacky B Hailonga, contrary to item 1.2.1. of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.</li> </ul>
6	Omle Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial page 47 of the bidding document which contains company shareholding information form, contrary to item 1.2.1. of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why bid is NOT responsive	
		Stage 1			Stage 2				Stage 3
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary/ Administrative Requirement	Mandatory Requirements	Technical Evaluation: Security Services	Conflict Of Interest	Financial Requirements		
8	M Anguku Trading CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial page 2 of Siteketa Virgils' CV, contrary to item 1.2.1. of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.	
10	Namibia People's Protection Services CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial page 17 of the Financial Statement, contrary to item 1.2.1. of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.	
21	Mayfield Protection Services CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial on both sides of the below documents: ✓ Grade 12 Certificate for Jacob Martin ✓ Grade 10 Certificate for Klaudia N Katimba for 2010 and 2011, contrary to item 1.2.1. of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.	
22	Ludwig Elizabeth Investment CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial the following documents: ✓ SME certificate ✓ The grade 12 certificate for Nghilifa Ephraima ✓ CV for Nghilifa Ephraima ✓ ID copy for Nghilifa Ephraima ✓ Certificate of conduct for Nghilifa Ephraima, contrary to item 1.2.1. of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.	

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary/ Administrative Requirement	Mandatory Requirements	Technical Evaluation: Security Services	Conflict Of Interest	Financial Requirements	
25	Kuomboka Investment (PTY) Ltd	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Form CM 26</li> <li>✓ Form CM22</li> <li>✓ Social Security Commission Good Standing Certificate</li> <li>✓ Employment Equity Commission Certificate,</li> <li>✓ ID copy for Rector Matengu Nkando, contrary to item 1.2.1.1 of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.</li> </ul>
26	Mampi Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not attach the proof of payment, of which the verification process proved that the bidder did not purchase the bidding document, contrary to item 1.2.6 of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.
27	Extra Defence Protection Services CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial page 9 of the Founding Statement and the even numbered pages of the double-sided Company Profile, contrary to item 1.2.1.1 of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Stage 1		Stage 2		Stage 3		
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary/ Administrative Requirement	Mandatory Requirements	Technical Evaluation: Security Services	Conflict Of Interest	Financial Requirements	
28	Kelela Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial Addendum 1, page 1 of 2, contrary to item 1.2.1. of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.	
29	P.I.S Security Services	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial the Certificate of Conduct for Seblon Kambwale Hangula, contrary to item 1.2.1. of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.  The bidder did not attach the proof of payment with the full amount of N\$600.00, instead a deposit slip of an amount of N\$300.00, contrary to item 1.2.6 of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria and Clarification 3 issued to all bidders.	
30	Ombala Security Services	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not initial various documents in their bid submission such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Founding statement</li> <li>✓ Car Registration (VW 756 Tuareg)</li> <li>✓ License disc for N46215S</li> <li>✓ First Aid Training issued by SAVEMED, contrary to item 1.2.1. of the Preliminary Evaluation Criteria.</li> </ul>	

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why bid is NOT responsive	
		Stage 1			Stage 2				Stage 3
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary/ Administrative Requirement	Mandatory Requirements	Technical Evaluation: Security Services	Conflict Of Interest	Financial Requirements		
2	Nahole Security & Debts Collection Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not provide a Fitness Certificate from the Town Councils; however, the bidder submitted a Lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	
3	Onyeka Protection Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not provide a Fitness Certificate from the Town Councils; however, the bidder submitted a lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	
4	Chief Nangolo Security Services	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder did not provide a Fitness Certificate from the Town Councils; however, the bidder submitted a lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Stage 1		Stage 2		Stage 3		
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary/ Administrative Requirement	Mandatory Requirements	Technical Evaluation: Security Services	Conflict Of Interest	Financial Requirements	
11	Tufulele Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder provided a valid latest Fitness Certificate; however, the bidder did not provide a Title Deed or Lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.  The Bidder did not provide a Public Liability Insurance Cover Letter or Letter of Intent for insurance cover worth 10% per lot bid for, from any legally registered financial institution, contrary to item 1.3.8 of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.
12	Sirka Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder provided a valid latest Fitness Certificate; however, the bidder did not provide a Title Deed or Lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why bid is NOT responsive	
		Stage 1			Stage 2				Stage 3
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary/ Administrative Requirement	Mandatory Requirements	Technical Evaluation: Security Services	Conflict Of Interest	Financial Requirements		
13	Nelito Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder provided a valid latest Fitness Certificate; however, the bidder did not provide a Title Deed or Lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	
16	Willco Protection Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The Bidder did not provide a Public Liability Insurance Cover Letter or Letter of Intent for insurance cover worth 10% per lot bid for, from any legally registered financial institution, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	
								The bidder provided a valid latest Fitness Certificate; however, the bidder did not provide a Title Deed or Lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	



Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages							Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Stage 1			Stage 2			Stage 3	
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary/ Administrative Requirement	Mandatory Requirements	Technical Evaluation: Security Services	Conflict Of Interest	Financial Requirements		
17	Omatungo Security Services	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder provided a Lease Agreement; however, the bidder did not provide a valid latest Fitness Certificate from Municipalities or Town Councils or Ministry of Health & Social Services, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	
18	Six Thousand Security Services	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder provided a valid latest Fitness Certificate; however, the bidder did not provide a Title Deed or Lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	
19	Ndemutyavela Shomongula Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder provided a valid latest Fitness Certificate; however, the bidder did not provide a Title Deed or Lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages							Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Stage 1		Stage 2			Stage 3		
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary/ Administrative Requirement	Mandatory Requirements	Technical Evaluation: Security Services	Conflict Of Interest	Financial Requirements		
20	Nebby Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The Bidder did not provide a Public Liability Insurance Cover Letter or letter of Intent for insurance cover worth 10% per lot bid for, from any legally registered financial institution, contrary to item 1.3.8. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	
23	Chippa Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder provided a valid latest Fitness Certificate; however, the bidder did not provide a Title Deed or Lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	
24	Total Protection Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder failed to provide a Certificate of Registration of a Bona Fide Security Service Provider with NAMPOL, contrary to item 1.3.7. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	
								The bidder provided a valid latest Fitness Certificate; however, the bidder did not provide a Title Deed or Lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.	

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages							Reasons why bid is NOT responsive	
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3			
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary/ Administrative Requirement	Mandatory Requirements	Technical Evaluation: Security Services	Conflict Of Interest	Financial Requirements	Financial Requirements		
31	Bertha Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered			The Bidder did not provide a Public Liability Insurance Cover Letter or Letter of Intent for insurance cover worth 10% per lot bid for, from any legally registered financial institution, contrary to Item 1.3.8. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.
34	African King Security Services	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered			The bidder provided a valid latest Fitness Certificate; however, the bidder did not provide a Title Deed or Lease Agreement, contrary to item 1.3.6. of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.
7	Shikuvule Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	The bidder failed to meet the minimum score of 70% as stated at Technical Evaluation, on page 38 of the bidding document and only scored 55%.
10	Namibia Protection Services (PTY) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Stage 1		Stage 2		Stage 3		
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary/ Administrative Requirement	Mandatory Requirements	Technical Evaluation: Security Services	Conflict Of Interest	Financial Requirements	
14	Omeya Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified
15	Triple One Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified
32	Omambudu Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified
33	Kimpert Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified
35	Windhoek Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified

#### 14. Price Comparison for the Responsive Bid(s):

The BEC examined ten (10) bidders that were substantially responsive for arithmetic errors in terms of ITB 28 of the bidding document and Section 52 (5) of the PPA as amended. The BEC ranked the bidders according to price quoted from lowest to highest, and the contracts will be awarded to the lowest evaluated substantially responsive bid in terms of Section 55 (1) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 (Act No. 15 of 2015).

Bidder No.	Bidder Name	Bid Price(N\$) Read Out Incl. VAT	Discount Offered	Corrected Bid Price (N\$) Incl. VAT	Error/Difference N\$	Ranking	Recommended/ Alternate
<b>Lot 1</b>							
32	Omambudu Security Services CC	6,060,653.28	-	6,060,653.28	-	1	Recommended
15	Triple One Investments CC	8,153,590.82	-	6,276,163.94	(1,877,426.88)	2	1st Alternate
14	Omeya Investments CC	7,526,013.32	-	6,544,359.36	(981,653.96)	3	2nd Alternate
33	Kimpert Investment CC	7,384,608.00	-	7,384,608.00	-	4	
7	Shikuvule Trading CC	7,410,981.60	-	7,410,981.60	-	5	
35	Windhoek Security Services CC	7,410,981.60	-	7,410,981.60	-	6	
10	Namibia Protection Services (PTY) Ltd	2,688,058.59	-	7,721,899.97	5,033,841.38	7	
<b>Lot 2</b>							
7	Shikuvule Trading CC	5,182,704.00	-	5,182,704.00	-	1	Recommended
14	Omeya Investments CC	12,838,922.90	-	5,559,545.36	(7 279 377,54)	2	1st Alternate
32	Omambudu Security Services CC	5,838,376.32	-	5,838,376.32	-	3	2nd Alternate
15	Triple One Investments CC	12,567,015.84	-	6,283,507.92	(6 283 507,92)	4	
33	Kimpert Investment CC	6,749,568.00	-	6,749,568.00	-	5	
35	Windhoek Security Services CC	6,773,673.60	-	6,773,673.60	-	6	
10	Namibia Protection Services (PTY) Ltd	2,456,899.02	-	7,057,854.52	4,600,955.50	7	

Bidder No.	Bidder Name	Bid Price(N\$) Read Out Incl. VAT	Discount Offered	Corrected Bid Price (N\$) Incl. VAT	Error/Difference N\$	Ranking	Recommended/ Alternate
<b>Lot 3</b>							
7	Shikuvule Trading CC	6 331 262,40	-	6 331 262,40	-	1	Already Allocated
32	Omambudu Security Services CC	6,353,000.64	-	6,353,000.64	-	2	Already Allocated
14	Omeya Investments CC	8,072,852.90	-	7,073,215.44	(999 637,46)	3	Recommended
15	Triple One Investments CC	9,711,632.53	-	7,305,478.15	(2 406 154,38)	4	1st Alternate
33	Kimpert Investment CC	7,608,384.00	-	7,608,384.00	-	5	2nd Alternate
35	Windhoek Security Services CC	7,635,556.80	-	7,635,556.80	-	6	
10	Namibia Protection Services (PTY) Ltd	2,769,515.22	-	7,955,896.94	5,186,381.72	7	
<b>Lot 4</b>							
32	Omambudu Security Services CC	2,305,532.16	-	3,074,042.88	768,510.72	1	Already Allocated
7	Shikuvule Trading CC	3,235,161.60	-	3,235,161.60	-	2	Already Allocated
14	Omeya Investments CC	3,798,989.60	-	3,303,141.08	(495,848.52)	3	Already Allocated
15	Triple One Investments CC	4,753,556.93	-	3,578,520.38	(1,175,036.55)	4	Recommended
33	Kimpert Investment CC	3,580,416.00	-	3,580,416,00	-	5	1st Alternate
35	Windhoek Security Services CC	3,593,203.20	-	3,593,203,20	-	6	2nd Alternate
10	Namibia Protection Services (PTY) Ltd	1,303,301.28	-	3,743,951.50	2,440,650.22	7	

**15. Best Evaluated Bid(s)**

Selected for Award of Contract:

**Lowest Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.**

Given the recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) Report, the Board approved in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 as amended.

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Lot Allocated	Bid Price (N\$) Read Out Incl. VAT	Discount Offered	Corrections Of Errors (N\$)	Proposed Award Amount (N\$) Incl. VAT	Bidder's Address
32	Omambudu Security Services CC	1	6,060,653.28	-	-	6,060,653.28	Erf NDC Building, Office No.9, Outapi
<b>Bid Price (N\$) Incl. VAT: Six Million, Sixty Thousand, Six Hundred and Fifty-Three Namibian Dollars, Twenty-eight cents.</b>							
7	Shikuvule Trading CC	2	5,182,704.00	-	-	5,182,704.00	Erf 1171, Ondjondjo Street, Ondangwa
<b>Bid Price (N\$) Incl. VAT: Five Million, One Hundred and Eighty-Two Thousand, Seven Hundred and Four Namibian Dollars.</b>							
14	Omeya Investments CC	3	8,072,852.90	-	(999 637,46)	7,073,215.44	Erf 992, Jason Amakutuwa Street, Okahao
<b>Bid Price (N\$) Incl. VAT: Seven Million, Seventy-Three Thousand, Two Hundred and Fifteen Namibian Dollars, Forty-Four Cents.</b>							
15	Triple One Investments CC	4	4,753,556.93	-	(1,175,036.55)	3,578,520.38	Erf 1164, Ompumbu Location, Oshakati
<b>Bid Price (N\$) Incl. VAT: Three Million, Five Hundred Seventy-Eight Thousand, Five Hundred and Twenty Namibian Dollars, Thirty-Eight Cents.</b>							

09 June 2023



*A. Ngavetene*  
A. Ngavetene  
Chairperson

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM**

**RENDERING OF SECURITY SERVICES TO OMUSATI REGIONAL COUNCIL-  
DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION, ARTS & CULTURE FOR A PERIOD OF 36 MONTHS  
(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. NCS/OAB/CPBN-01/2023)**

I/We \_\_\_\_\_ hereby  
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary dated \_\_\_\_\_ and undertake to  
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: ..... Signature: .....

Date: ..... Company Stamp: .....